<u>Flavor Pendula</u>

Part 0: General IntroductionPart I: Neutral Meson MixingPart II: 3-flavor Neutrino Mixing

Michael Kobel (TU Dresden) 22.9.09 BND school Rathen

Coupled Pendula

- Free Oscillation of one pendulum: $\omega_0^2 = \frac{g}{\ell}$
- 2 pendula with same length *l*, mass *m* coupled by spring with strength *k*
- 2 Eigenmodes
 - Different eigenfrequencies = energies

Mode a (II + I) with $\omega_a^2 = \omega_0^2$ Mode b (II - I) with $\omega_b^2 = \omega_0^2 + \Delta \omega^2$

 Frequency (=energy) difference increases with stronger coupling

$$\Delta \omega^2 = \frac{kd^2}{m\ell^2}$$

Coupling can be steered by varying *k* or *d* (we'll vary d in the following)



Two bases in Hilbert-space

flavor-basis

- eigenstates of flavor
- eigenstates of weak charge
- particles take part in weak interactions as flavor-eigenstates
- Examples:
 - $\overline{K}^{0}(s \overline{u}) \text{ or } K^{0}(\overline{s} u)$
 - v_e , v_μ , v_τ

<u>mass-basis</u>

- eigenstates of mass
- well-defined lifetime
- Particles propagate through space-time as mass-eigenstates $|\upsilon(t)\rangle = |\upsilon\rangle \mathbf{e}^{i(\vec{p}\vec{x}-Et)}\mathbf{e}^{-\Gamma t}$
- Examples:

$$-$$
 K⁰_L, K⁰_S

- v_1, v_2, v_3
- Like coupled pendula, the coupling of particles leads to eigenstates with different masses and lifetimes, e.g. for linear combination of 2 states:

$$v_a = (v_\tau + v_\mu)/\sqrt{2}$$
 with $m_a^2 = m_0^2$
 $v_b = (v_\tau - v_\mu)/\sqrt{2}$ with $m_b^2 = m_0^2 + \Delta m^2$

Correspondences

pendulum	particles
Linear oscillation	complex phase rotation
Eigenmodes	Mass eigenstates
→ fixed eigenfrequencies	→ fixed phase frequencies
Frequency differences $\Delta \omega$	Frequency differences e ^{i∆Et} ~ e ^{i∆m²t}
\rightarrow different energies	→ different masses
One pendulum =	Flavor eigenstate =
lin. combination of eigenmodes	lin. combination of mass eigenstates
amplitude ² ~	amplitude ² ~
total energy in oscillation	detection probability
Beat-Frequency	Flavor-Oscillation
$\sim \Delta \omega$ of eigenmodes	$\sim \Delta m^{(2)}$ of mass eigenstates

Part I: Pendula for Neutral Meson Mixing



coupled pendula for demonstrating KK-, DD- and BB-mixing

Idea: Klaus Schubert built 1987 in Institut für Hochenergiephysik Universität Heidelberg at the occasion of the discovery of BB-mixing by the ARGUS-Collaboration at DESY

Part I: Neutral Meson Mixing



- Need to be neutral and have distinct anti-particle (x)
- Needs to have a non-zero lifetime
 - top is so heavy, it decays long before it can even form a meson (\diamondsuit)
- That leaves four distinct cases...

Some sheets stolen from Gerhard Raven's

Solving the Schrödinger Equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma & M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12} \\ M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^* & M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma \end{pmatrix}\psi(t)$$

Solution (in terms of eigenvectors):

$$\psi(t) = a \left| B_H(t) \right\rangle + b \left| B_L(t) \right\rangle$$

(a and b determined by initial conditions)

Eigenvectors:

 $|B_{H}\rangle = p |B\rangle + q |\overline{B}\rangle$ $|B_{L}\rangle = p |B\rangle - q |\overline{B}\rangle$

From the eigenvector calculation:

$$\frac{q}{p} = \sqrt{\frac{M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^*}{M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}}}$$

Evolution of eigenvectors:

$$|B_H(t)\rangle = |B_H\rangle e^{-i\left(M + \frac{1}{2}\Delta m - \frac{i}{2}(\Gamma - \Delta\Gamma)\right)t} |B_L(t)\rangle = |B_L\rangle e^{-i\left(M - \frac{1}{2}\Delta m + \frac{i}{2}(\Gamma + \Delta\Gamma)\right)t}$$

Δm and $\Delta \Gamma$ follow from the eigenvalues:

$$\Delta m + \frac{i}{2}\Delta\Gamma = 2\sqrt{\left(M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}\right)\left(M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^*\right)}$$

if:
$$\Gamma_{12} = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta \Gamma = 0, \left| \frac{q}{p} \right| = 1$$

Summary of Neutral Meson Mixing



How can pendula model all this? \rightarrow Just start with one pendulum (e.g. $\overline{B^0}$ or B^0)!

- $\overline{B^0}(b \ \overline{d}) B^0(\overline{b} \ d)$ oscillations:
 - very few decay channels common to both
 - \rightarrow Each flavor is damped separately
 - Just few flavor-oscillation periods observable
- $\overline{B_s^0(b s)} B_s^0(\overline{b} s)$ oscillations:
 - mixing via $V_{ts}^{-2} = 0.04^2 > V_{td}^2 = 0.009^2$ much faster compared to B⁰
 - → well, model it by slower decay relative to mixing...
 - many flavor-oscillation (beat) periods observable
- $\overline{D^0(u c)} D^0(\overline{u} c)$ oscillations:
 - mixing via (m_b, m_s) << m_t much slower compared to B⁰
 → again, model it by faster decay relative to mixing...
 - no flavor-oscillation period observable
- $\overline{K^0}(s \ \overline{d}) K^0(\overline{s} \ d)$ oscillations:
 - most decay channels in common ($\pi\pi$, $\pi\pi\pi$)
 - \rightarrow The damping is in the coupling!
 - Large Phase space difference for decays of mass eigenstates (CP-cons.!)
 → The damping has to be very different for the eigenmodes
 - After a while only the K_L^0 eigenmode remains

Neutral Kaon Mixing

- K₁ and K₂ are their *own* antiparticle, but one is CP even, the other CP odd
- Only the CP even state can decay into 2 pions
- $|K_1\rangle$ (CP=+1) → $\pi\pi$ (CP=-1 * -1 =+1)
- The CP odd state will decay into 3 pions instead
 - $|K_2>$ (CP=-1) → ππ π (CP = -1*-1*-1 = -1)
- There is a huge difference in available phasespace between the two (~600x!) → the CP even state will decay much faster
 - Difference due to $M(K^0) \approx 3M(\pi)$
 - Δ has a large imaginary component!



What about CP-violation?



This tends to be very confusing...

EVIDENCE FOR THE 2π DECAY OF THE K_2° MESON*[†]

J. H. Christenson, J. W. Cronin,[‡] V. L. Fitch,[‡] and R. Turlay[§] Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey (Received 10 July 1964)

three-body decays of the K_2^{0} . The presence of a two-pion decay mode implies that the K_2^{0} meson is not a pure eigenstate of *CP*. Expressed as $K_2^{0} = 2^{-1/2} [(K_0 - \overline{K}_0) + \epsilon (K_0 + \overline{K}_0)]$ then $|\epsilon|^2 \cong R_T \tau_1 \tau_2$ where τ_1 and τ_2 are the K_1^{0} and K_2^{0} mean lives and R_T is the branching ratio including decay to two π^0 . Using $R_T = \frac{3}{2}R$ and the branching ratio quoted above, $|\epsilon| \cong 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$.

$$\frac{|K_L\rangle}{|K_S\rangle} = p \left| K^0 \right\rangle - q \left| \overline{K^0} \right\rangle$$
$$\frac{|K_S\rangle}{|K_S\rangle} = p \left| K^0 \right\rangle + q \left| \overline{K^0} \right\rangle$$

$$\langle K_L | K_L \rangle \equiv 1 \Rightarrow |q|^2 + |p|^2 = 1$$

eg. $p = 1 + \epsilon$ $q = 1 - \epsilon$ with $|\epsilon| << 1$

CP-violation with pendula

- Realisation: differences between the \overline{K}^0 and K^0 pendula
 - Different moments of inertia *ml*² (*m* and/or *l* different)
 - Different coupling strengths (lever arm d different)
 → corresponds to differences of V_{td} and V_{td}* in mixing diagram
- K_L has unequal amounts of \overline{K}^0 and $K^0 \rightarrow$ wait for K_L and have a look!
- Equal amounts of K⁰ and K⁰ evolve differently
 → former eigenmodes now develop! (cf. CPLear Experiment)



Is CP violation with pendula a perfect model?

• No!

– all mechanical devices are **T** invariant!

- if CP is violated and T is conserved:
 → CPT is violated!
- In fact, we have modelled CPT violation!

Part II: Neutrino flavor pendula



coupled pendula for demonstrating 3-flavor neutrino mixing as realized in nature

Idea: Michael Kobel built 2004 at Uni Bonn, extended 2006 at TU Dresden with variable mixing angles and digital readout http://neutrinopendel.tu-dresden.de

3-flavor neutrino mixing



 θ_{12}

v flavor-oscillations

• Each flavor (e.g. v_e) is sum of mass eigenstates (v_1 , v_2 , v_3)

• Each mass eigenstate with fixed p has a different phase frequency ω_i

• $exp(i\omega_i t) = exp(iE_i t) = exp(i(\sqrt{p^2 + m_i^2})t) \sim exp(ipt + im_i^2 t/2p + ...)$



• The differences $\Delta \omega_{ii} \sim |m_i^2 - m_i^2| =: \Delta m_{ii}^2$ lead to flavor oscillations • Δm_{ii}^2 determines the oscillation period • θ_{ii} determines the oscillation **amplitude** $L_{ij} = 2.5m \frac{E(WeV)}{\Delta m_{ii}^2 (eV^2)}$

 $\cos^2 2\theta$

Pve-ve

0

Current values

cf. global fit Th.Schwetz et al., NJP 10 (2008)

∆m ² ₂₃ = 2,4 x 10 ⁻³ eV ²	∆m² ₁₃ = 2,5 x 10 ⁻³ eV²	∆m² ₁₂ = 0,08 x 10 ⁻³ eV²
"fast" oscillation		"slow" oscillation
$L_{23} = 1 km \times E(MeV)$		$L_{12} = 30 \ km \times E(MeV)$
$\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$	θ ₁₃ < 11° (90% CL)	$\theta_{12}=33.5^\circ\pm1.5^\circ$
0	Δ Δ	0

 $0_{13}, 0$

consistent with so-called tri/bi-maximal mixing

^Oatmos, beam

$$\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ} \qquad \qquad \theta_{13} = 0^{\circ} \\ U_{\text{PMNS}} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\theta_{12} = 35.3^{\circ}$

solar, reactor

Harrison, Perkins, Scott '99,'02 Z.Xing,'02, He, Zee, '03, Koide '03 Chang, Kang, Kim '04, Kang '04

Realisation as coupled pendula

inverted hierarchy

 v_2

 v_1

 v_3

•
$$\mathbf{v}_3 = (\mathbf{v}_\mu + \mathbf{v}_\tau)/\sqrt{2}$$

•
$$v_2 = (-v_e + v_\mu + v_\tau)/\sqrt{3}$$

•
$$v_1 = (2v_e + v_\mu + v_\tau)/\sqrt{6}$$

normal

m

 v_3

 v_2



The solar neutrino "deficit"





Ray Davis

Nobelpreis 2002

380000 I Perchlorethylen in der Homestake- Mine



$$\nu_{a}$$
 + ³⁷Cl \rightarrow ³⁷Ar + e⁻

Ausspülen des ³⁷Ar (0.5 Atome/Tag)

• <u>Davis:</u> only sensitiv to v_e Result: Only 30% of expected v_e detected

need for enhancement (MSW effect)

- nuclear fusion: 100% v_e leave the sun (w/o MSW effect) 4p \rightarrow ⁴He + 2e⁺ + $2v_e$ + 27 MeV
- "slow" oscillation via Δm_{12}^2 and θ_{12} , pendula: weak coupling

T/2

- transition to $(v_{\tau} v_{\mu})/\sqrt{2}$ not possible, since v_{e} not in v_{3}
- oscillation only to $(v_{\tau} + v_{\mu})/\sqrt{2}$

000000002 (0000000002

• $P(v_e \rightarrow v_e) > 50\%$ since just v_1 and v_2 count \rightarrow need for enhancement (MSW effect)





100000002 0000000000

atmospheric neutrinos





look at ν_{e} and ν_{μ} from air showers:

- no deficit for v_e
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ clear deficit for $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mu}$
- fully compatible with $\nu_{\mu} \not \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$

atmospheric neutrinos

- SuperKamiokande 2000: described als $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\tau}$
- pendula:
 - v_e : weak coupling to v_{μ} , v_{τ} v_{μ} : weak coupling to v_e strong coupling to v_{τ}



Interactive Neutrino Oscillation Laboratory



Modify θ_{23}



Modify θ_{12}



Abbildung 28: Sonnenneutrino-Oszillation mit θ_{12} =0,7854rad (45°), Java-Applet.

Modify θ_{13}



Impact of θ_{13} on atmospheric v

- $v_3 = (\sin\theta_{13}v_e v_\mu + v_\tau)/\sqrt{2.01}$
- reactor $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{e}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\tau} + \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mu}$ disappearance and atmospheric $\mathbf{v}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}}$ appearance
 - "slow" *directly* via Δm_{12} (weak coupling)
 - "fast" modulation via $v_{\tau} v_{\mu}$ with Δm_{23} (strong coupling)

Interactive Neutrino Oscillation Laboratory



Are neutrino pendula a perfect model?

Few "features"

- Need "creative" sign convention, leading to
- imperfection for understanding sequence of masses
- imperfection for $\theta_{23} \neq 45^{\circ}$
 - some $(v_{\tau} v_{\mu})$ present in v_1 and v_2
 - but $v_e \rightarrow (v_\tau v_\mu)$ still not possible!

Else perfect!

The END !