

Dark Matter Lecture 3: Direct detection techniques and experiments

September 15, 2009 BND Graduate School, Rathen, Germany

Laura Baudis, Universität Zürich

Content

• Overview of experimental techniques

status of experiments, comparison with theoretical predictions from SUSY

• Cryogenic experiments at mK temperatures

Principles

Examples of running experiments: CDMS, CRESST, EDELWEISS

Near future projects

• Liquid Noble Elements Experiments

Principles

Examples of running experiments: XENON, ZEPLIN, LUX

Near future projects

• Directional detectors

Principles and examples

• Room Temperature scintillators

Principles

Examples: DAMA/LIBRA, KIMS

Bubble chambers

Principles, example: COUPP





Spin-dependent

Vanilla Exclusion Plot

• Assume we have detector of mass M, taking data for a period of time T

 The total exposure will be ε = M × T [kg days]; nuclear recoils are detected above an energy threshold E_{th}, up to a chosen energy E_{max}. The expected number of events n_{exp} will be:

$$n_{\exp} = \varepsilon \int_{E_{th}}^{E_{\max}} \frac{dR}{dE_R} dE_R$$

⇒ cross sections for which $n_{exp} \ge 1$ can be probed by the experiment

 If ZERO events are observed, Poisson statistics implies that n_{exp} ≤ 2.3 at 90% CL
 => exclusion plot in the cross section versus mass parameter space
 (assuming known local density)



Detection of events above background

- Determination of the WIMP mass and the cross section (assuming one knows the local density of WIMPs)
- The WIMP mass can be determined from the energies of the detected events: we have seen that for a fixed ${\bullet}$ target material the differential event rate depends on the WIMP mass (it decreases more rapidly with increasing recoil energy for lighter WIMPs)
- Example for a 70 GeV WIMP mass: a larger number of detected events will give a more precise determination of the mass of the WIMP



30 events in 12'250 (raw) kg days

Cryogenic Noble Liquids as WIMP targets: some properties

• Suitable materials for detection of ionizing tracks:

- dense, homogeneous target and also detectors (scintillation and ionization)
- ➡ do not attach electrons; inert not flammable, very good dielectrics
- commercially easy to obtain and purify
- Large detector masses are feasible (at modest costs compared to semiconductors)
- Self-shielding + good position resolution in TPC mode

Element	Z (A)	BP (T _b) at 1 atm [K]	liquid density at T _b [g/cc]	ionization [e ⁻ / keV]	scintillation [photon/keV]
He	2 (4)	4.2	0.13	39	15
Ne	10 (20)	27.1	1.21	46	7
Ar	18 (40)	87.3	1.40	42	40
Kr	36 (84)	119.8	2.41	49	25
Xe	54 (131)	165.0	3.06	64	46

Charge and Light in Noble Liquids



Existing Experiments and Proposed Projects

	Single Phase (liquid only) pulse shape discrimination (PSD)	Double Phase (liquid and gas) PSD and Charge/Light
Neon (A=20)	miniCLEAN (100 kg) CLEAN (10-100 t)	SIGN
Argon (A=40)	DEAP-I (7 kg) miniCLEAN (100 kg) CLEAN (10-100 t)	ArDM (1 ton) WARP (3.2 kg) WARP (140 kg)
Xenon (A=131)	ZEPLIN I XMASS (100 kg) XMASS (800 kg) XMASS (23 t)	ZEPLIN II + III (31 kg, 8 kg) XENON10, XENON100 LUX (300 kg), DARWIN (>1t)

- Single phase: e⁻-ion recombination occurs; singlet/triplet ratio is 10/1 for NR/ER
- **Double phase:** ionization and scintillation; electrons are drifted in ~ 1kV/cm E-field

Liquid Xenon Detectors: why Liquid Xenon?

Large A (~131) good for SI interactions, requires low energy threshold E_{th} ¹²⁹Xe (26.4%, spin 1/2) and ¹³¹Xe (21.2%, spin 3/2) for SD interactions No radioactive isotopes (85 Kr reduced to ppt levels, 136 Xe: T_{1/2} > 10²⁰ yr) High stopping power (Z=54, p=3 g/cm³) for compact, self-shielding geometry Efficient and fast scintillator (yield ~ 80% NaI), transparent to its own light Good ionization yield (W=15.6 eV: energy required to produce an e⁻-ion pair) Modest quenching factor (QF) for nuclear recoils (QF ~ 0.2) 'Easy' cryogenics at ~ 165 K

Background rejection: > 99.5% by simultaneous light and charge detection

3D event localization and LXe shelf-shielding => large, homogeneous detectors



The Double-Phase Detector Concept

- **Prompt (S1) light signal** after interaction in active volume; charge is drifted, extracted into the gas phase and detected as **proportional light (S2**)
- Challenge: ultra-pure liquid + high drift field; efficient extraction + detection of e-



Two-phase Xenon Detectors

ZEPLIN III at Boulby



6.7 kg LXe (fiducial) 31 x 2" cm PMTs

WIMP search run analyzed: 127 kg d

XENON10 at LNGS



15 kg (5.4 fiducial), 89 2" PMTs

136 kg d (after cuts) of WIMP search data



7 events obs. in WIMP box 11.6±3.0 expected from backgrounds

limit with BG subtraction

arXiv:0812.1150v1



The XENON100 Experiment

• Goals:

- target mass of ~ 50 kg (10 x larger than XENON10)
- decrease backgrounds by x 100 (rel. to XENON10)
- through strong material selection + screening
- active veto shield and detector design
- Status: under commissioning at LNGS





The XENON100 detector in its low-background shield at LNGS

XENONI00 Det

XENON100 Photodetectors and Calibration

- 242 Hamamatsu R8520 1"x1" PMTs
- low-radioactivity (U/Th < 0.2 mBq/PMT), 80 with high QE of 33%
 - ➡ 98 in top array: optimized for fiducial cut efficiency
 - ➡ 80 in bottom array: optimized for S1 collection -> low Ethr
 - ➡ 64 in active veto: BG reduction by factor 3-4
 - ➡ PMT gain calibration: with LEDs, the SPE response measured
- gamma-sources: ^{83m}Kr, ⁵⁷Co, ¹³⁷Cs, ⁶⁰Co, ²²⁸Th, ^{83m}Kr, ^{129m}Xe, ^{131m}Xe
- neutron source: AmBe







The XENON100 Time Projection Chamber

- TPC (total of 170 kg LXe) with active veto (100 kg LXe) installed underground
- Xe purified to ppt ⁸⁵Kr-levels ($T_{1/2} = 10.7$ y, β ⁻ 678 keV)
- Several background and calibration runs taken
- Measured background: factor ~100 lower than in XENON10 (preliminary)
- Expect to start WIMP search by the end of 2009; run until end of 2010



10⁴

Two-phase Argon Detectors

WARP at LNGS

WIMP target: 140 kg LAr

- S1 and S2 read-out with 41 x 3" PMTs
- active LAr shield: ~ 8t, viewed by 300 PMTs

Detector has been installed in December 08 Now under commissioning in Gran Sasso

ArDM at CERN

WIMP target: 1 ton LAr

- S1 read-out with 14 x 8" PMTs
- direct electron readout via LEMs (thick macroscopic GEM)

Detector filled May 2009 at CERN Calibrations with various sources ongoing Underground operation: LSC or SunLab









DARWIN (DARk matter Wimp search with Noble liquids)

- Design study for Next-generation noble liquid facility in Europe submitted (in response to the first ASPERA common call) on June 4th, 2009
- Goals:
 - unify and coordinate extensive existing expertise in Europe (XENON, WARP, ArDM plus new groups, including US groups from XENON and WARP)
 - study both argon and xenon as WIMP target media and provide recommendation for facility (full technical design report) in 2-3 years from now
 - submit full proposal in response to second ASPERA call
- **Possible locations**: LNGS (Italy), ULISSE (Modane extension, France), or SUNLAB (Poland)
- Participants: Switzerland (ETHZ, UZH), Germany (MPIK, KIT, Münster), France (Subatech), Italy (INFN: L'Aquila, Milano, Napoli, Padova, Pavia, Torino), Netherlands (Nikhef), Poland (IFJ PAN, US, PWr), USA (Columbia, Princeton, Rice, UCLA)
- Funding: provided by the national instruments of each participant ('virtual pot')
- Decision: expected in October 2009, start in late 2009

Cryogenic Experiments at mK Temperatures

- Principle: phonon (quanta of lattice vibrations) mediated detectors
- Motivation: increase the energy resolution + detect smaller energy depositions (lower the threshold); use a variety of absorber materials (not only Ge and Si)
- Remember the energy resolution of a semiconductor detector (N = nr. of e⁻-h excitations)

$$W_{stat} = 2.35\sqrt{F\varepsilon E}$$
 $\left|\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \sqrt{\frac{F}{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{F\varepsilon}{E}} \quad W_{stat} = 2.35\sigma(E)\right|$

- E = deposited energy; F = Fano factor; in Si: ϵ = 3.6 eV/e⁻-h pair (band gap is 1.2 eV)
- Maximum phonon energy in Si: 60 meV
 - many more phonons are created than e⁻-h pairs!
- For dark matter searches:
 - thermal phonon detectors (measure an increase in temperature)
 - athermal phonon detectors (detect fast, non-equilibrium phonons)
- Detector made from superconductors: the superconducting energy gap 2Δ~ 1 meV
 - binding energy of a Cooper pair (equiv. of band gap in semiconductors); 2 quasi-particles for every unbound Cooper pair; these can be detected

Basic Principles of mK Cryogenic Detectors

• A deposited energy E will produce a temperature rise ΔT given by:

$$\Delta T = \frac{E}{C(T)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}, \qquad \tau = \frac{C(T)}{G(T)}$$

C(T) = heat capacity of absorber

G(T)=thermal conductance of the link between the absorber and the reservoir at temperature T_0



Normal metals: the electronic part of $C(T) \sim T$, and dominates the heat capacity at low temperatures

Superconductors: the electronic part is proportional to $exp(-T_c/T)$ (T_c = superconducting transition temperature) and is negligible compared to lattice contributions for T<<T_c

Basic Principles of mK Cryogenic Detectors

• For pure dielectric crystals and superconductors at T << T_c, the heat capacity is given by:

$$C(T) \sim \frac{m}{M} \left(\frac{T}{\Theta_D}\right)^3 J K^{-1}$$

m = absorber mass M = molecular weight of absorber $\Theta_D =$ Debye temperature

- \rightarrow the lower the T, the larger the ΔT per unit of absorbed energy
- rightarrow in thermal detectors E is measured as the temperature rise ΔT
- Example: at T = 10 mK, a 1 keV energy deposition in a 100 g detectors increases the temperature by:

$$\Delta T \approx 1 \ \mu K$$

• this can be measured!

Thermal Detectors

- Ideal case of a perfect calorimeter: all the energy is converted into heat and the T-rise is measured
- But: a fraction of the energy goes into metastable electronic states and into the breaking of Cooper pairs (for SC), creating electronic excitations called quasiparticles, which will not all recombine on the timescale to be measured as a thermal pulse. In dielectrics: the phonons are far from equilibrium and must first decay to lower energy phonons and become thermalized.
- For a finite **thermalization time \tau_{th}**, the time behavior of the thermal pulse is given by:

$$T(t) = T_0 + \frac{E}{C(T)} \frac{\tau}{\tau - \tau_{th}} \left[e^{-t/\tau} - e^{-t/\tau_{th}} \right] \qquad \tau = \frac{C(T)}{G(T)}$$

- **Rise time:** in general μ s (limited by detector physics)
- **Decay time:** several ms \Rightarrow < few Hz counting rates for thermal detectors

Thermal Detectors

• The intrinsic energy resolution (as FWHM) of such a calorimeter is given by:

$$W \approx 2.35 \sqrt{k_B T^2 C(T)}$$
 $\frac{C(T)}{k_B} =$ number of phonon modes
 $k_B T =$ mean energy per mode

• Theoretical expectations:

- a 1 kg Ge crystal operated at 10 mK could achieve an energy resolution of about 10 eV => two orders of magnitude better than Ge ionization detectors
- a 1 mg of Si at 50 mK could achieve an energy resolution of 1 eV => two orders of magnitude better than conventional Si detectors

Temperature Sensors

- semiconductor thermistor: a highly doped semiconductor such that the resistance R is a strong function of temperature (NTD = neutron-transmutation-doped Ge - uniformly dope the crystal by neutron irradiation)
- superconduction (SC) transition sensor (TES/SPT): thin film of superconductor biased near the middle of its normal/SC transition
- For both NTDs and TESs/SPTs, an energy deposition produces a change in the electrical resistance R(T). The response can be expressed in terms of the logarithmic sensitivity:

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{d \log(R(T))}{d \log(T)}$$

$$\alpha = -10 \text{ to } -1 \text{ for semiconductor thermistors}$$

$$\alpha \sim +10^3 \text{ for TES/SPT devices}$$

→ it is clear that the sensitivity of TES/SPTs can be extremely high (depending on the width of the SC/normal transition)

→ but the temperature of the detector system must be kept very stable

Example: Thermal Detector with SPT-sensor

 The change of resistance due to a particle interaction in the absorber is detected by a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) (by the change in current induced in the input coil of the SQUID)



- Thermal detectors: slow -> ms for the phonons to relax to a thermal distribution
- **TES:** can be used to detect fast, athermal phonons -> how are these kept stable?

TES with Electrothermal-Feedback

• $T_0 \ll T_C$: substrate is cooled well below the SC transition temperature T_C

• A voltage V_B is placed across the film (TES)

and equilibrium is reached when ohmic heating of the TES by its bias current is balanced by the heat flow into the absorber

When an excitation reaches the TES

- \rightarrow the resistance R increases
- \rightarrow the current decreases by ΔI
- \Rightarrow this results in a reduction in the Joule heating



The feedback signal = the change in Joule power heating the film $P=IV_B=V_B^2/R$

The energy deposited is then given by:

$$E = -V_B \int \Delta I(t) \mathrm{d}t$$

TES with Electrothermal-Feedback

- By choosing the voltage V_B and the film resistivity properly
 - => one achieves a stable operating T on the steep portion of the transition edge



ET-feedback: leads to a thermal response time 10² faster than the thermal relaxation time + a large variety of absorbers can be used with the TES

Cryogenic Experim ires Advantages: high sensitivity to measuring the full nuclear rec low energy threshold (keV to • light/phonon and charge/phor n **EDELWEISS at LSM CDMS** at Soudan **CRESST** at LNGS CRESST CaWO, Light vs. Phonons 1.5 **EDELWEISS** electron recoils Efficiency: > 99.9% 1.2 E > 20 keVIonisation/Recoil Ratio Light / keV (for electron recoils) 범 Ionization yield + Selectrons and Engline γ Neutron calibration GGA1 0.4 n nuclear recoil band 0.2 separat light de 0 <mark>L</mark> 0 100 50 150 200 Recoil Energy (keV) Béla Mu Tuesday, September 15, 2009

ter

Example: the CDMS Experiment at the Soudan Mine

At the Soudan Lab in Minnesota: neutron background reduced from 1/kg/day → 1/kg/year

5 towers a 6 Ge/Si detectors in the 'icebox' kept at ≈ 20 mK





CDMS Detectors: charge and phonon sensors



380 µm x 55 µm Al

fins (300 nm thick)

CDMS Active and Passive Shields (typical shields for a dark matter experiment)





 40×5 cm thick scintillator panels read out by 2" Hamamatsu PMTs > 99.9% efficiency for through-going µ's rate \approx 1 muon/minute 40 cm outer polyethylene 22.5 cm lead 10 cm inner polyethylene 3 cm of copper (Σ_{cans})

CDMS: Signal versus Background

 Ratio of the charge/phonon-signal and time difference between charge and phonon signals => distinguish signal (WIMPs) from background of electromagnetic origin



CDMS WIMP Search Runs



Under analysis, results in late 2009

No WIMP events in the **signal region** (defined *a priori,* in 'blind' mode, based on calibration and multiple-events data)

Cryogenic mK Experiments: Near Future

CRESST at LNGS

10 kg array of 33 CaWO₄ detectors
new 66 SQUID channel array
new limit from operating 2
detectors (48 kg d) published in
2008, arXiv:0809.1829v1
new run in progress

EDELWEISS at LSM

Goal: 10 kg (30 modules) of NTD and ID (new charge electrodes) Ge detectors in new cryostat

data taking (with 19 detectors) in progress
reach: 4 x 10⁻⁴⁴ cm²

CDMS/SuperCDMS at Soudan

SuperCDMS detectors (1" thick ZIPs, each 650 g of Ge) have been tested

First SuperTower installed at Soudan (3 kg of WIMP target) and working

Goal: 5 x 10⁻⁴⁵ cm² with 16 kg Ge





EURECA: joint effort for 100 kg-1t experiment in Europe



Goal: 7 SuperTowers at SNOLAB

Bubble Chambers as WIMP Detectors

• **Principle:** detect single bubbles induced by high dE/dx nuclear recoils in heavy liquid bubble chambers (with acoustic, visual or motion detectors)





Recoil range << 1 μ m in a liquid - very high dE/dx

C O U P P

Bubble Chambers as WIMP Detectors

• Advantages

- → large 'rejection factor' for MIPs (> 10¹⁰): in fact 'blind' to these type of particles
- ⇒ can be easily scaled to large masses
- nuclei with and without spin => sensitivity to SD and SI interactions
- \Rightarrow CF₃I, CF₃Br, C₄F₁₀ etc
- high spatial granularity (reject neutrons -> multiple interactions)
- → low costs and room temperature operation

• Challenge: reduce α-emitters in fluids to acceptable levels



The COUPP Experiment

- Located at the NuMI tunnel (300 mwe) at Fermilab
- 2 kg detector operated in 2006
- α background from walls; ²²²Rn decays \Rightarrow ²¹⁰Pb plate-out



The COUPP Experiment: results and status

- Run with 2 kg detector and reduced backgrounds in 2007/2008
- Larger, low-BG 60 kg module in construction at Fermilab; goal is to reach 3 x 10⁻⁸ pb for SD WIMP-nucleon cross sections
- Result from 2 kg detector: best SD limit for **pure proton couplings at low WIMP masses**





60 kg detector under construction at FNAL

Directional Detectors

- Would provide robust signature
- 10-100 events needed, depending on direct. capability
- These detectors are still in R&D phase
- DRIFT-II: negative ion (CS₂) TPC, 1 m³ 40 Torr CS₂ gas (0.17 kg)
- MWPC readout; running at Boulby with reduced Rn backgrounds
- DMTPC: CF₄ gas TPC at 50 Torr, 2 x 10⁻² m³ at MIT
- PMTs + CCD read-out => 3D-info + E
- 1 m³ detector being designed (0.25 0.5 kg/m³) for WIPP
- **NEWAGE:** Ar + C₂H₆ micro-TPC
- micro-pixel chamber readout
- test cell at Kamioka
- MIMAC: ³He and CF₄ micro-TPC
- goal: measure tracks + ionization
- test chamber at CEA-Saclay





Room Temperature Scintillation Experiments

 Detection of scintillation light produced in various materials is a very old technique in particle physics

• Ideally, the material should:

- convert the kinetic energy of the particle into light with high efficiency, and the conversion should be linear
- be transparent to its own emission wavelength for good light collection
- have a short decay time for the induced luminiscence for fast detectors
- have an index of refraction near that of glass (1.5) for coupling to a PMT or another type of light sensor

• For dark matter searches:

- mostly inorganic alkali halide crystals (NaI(TI), CsI(Na,TI)), operated at room temperature
- best light output and linearity
- can be produced as high-purity crystals

Room Temperature Scintillation Experiments

• To enhance the probability of visible light emission: add impurities = "activators"



- Nal (Tl): 20 eV to create e⁻-hole pair, scintillation efficiency ~ 12%
 - → 1 MeV yields 4×10^4 photons, with average energy of 3 eV
 - \Rightarrow dominant decay time of the scintillation pulse: 230 ns, $\lambda_{max} = 415$ nm
- No discrimination between electron- and nuclear recoils on event-by-event basis
- Experiments: DAMA-LIBRA/Italy, NAIAD/UK, ANAIS/Spain, KIMS/Korea

The DAMA/LIBRA Experiment

- DAMA: 9 x 9.7 Nal (TI) crystals
- BG level: 1-2 events/kg/day/keV
- Ethreshold $\approx 2 keV_{ee} \approx 25 keV_{r}$



- Data period: 7 annual cycles, until July 2002; 0.29 ton x yr
- LIBRA: 25 x 9.7 Nal (TI) crystals in 5 x 5 matrix
- Data period: 4 annual cycles, 0.53 ton x year





DAMA/LIBRA

• Modulation of observed event rate confirmed in 2008

- 25 Nal detectors a 9.7 kg; each viewed by 2 PMTs (5.5-7.5 p.e./keVee)
- 4 years of data taking: 192 x 10³ kg days



residuals from average rate 2-4 keV

DAMA/LIBRA





400

600

Time (day)

500

Annual modulation of muons at LNGS

• measured by the MACRO experiment (phase ~ correct, with variations of ~ 1 month)



- DAMA: fast, µ-induced n-rate is not sufficiently high to produce observed rate modulation;
- How about metastable isotope production by μ spallation reactions in Nal? (with T_{1/2} > 500 μ s trigger hold-off time, and ~ 3 keV emission) first estimates show that the effect may be too small considering the 4% modulation measured by MACRO
- Good cross check: measure muon rate versus time in situ (no µ veto, but HE showers)

The KIMS Experiment

- At the Yangyang Laboratory in Korea (2000 mwe)
- CsI (TI) light yield: 5x10⁴ photons/keV
- peak emission at 550 nm, decay time ~ 1050 ns
- QF = 8-15% between 10-100 keVe
- Background reduction by pulse shape discrimination







The KIMS Experiment

- 4 x 8.7 kg CsI(TI) crystals had been operated for 3407 kg yr •
- each crystal is viewed by 2 low-BG quartz window PMTs •
- with RbCs photocathode (5.5 pe/KeV) •
- results: best SD limit for pure WIMP-p couplings •





12 detectors (104.4 kg) installed

- muon veto (liquid scintillator+56 PMTs)
- optimization runs finished (background rate ~ 1 event/(kg keV d)

stable operation in progress!

- -> probe the DAMA modulation signal
- -> study annual modulation of muon associated events





Summary/Outlook (I)

- Many different techniques/targets are being employed to search for dark matter particles
- Steady progress in the last ~ 10 years: > factor 100 increase in sensitivity!



Summary/Outlook (II)

- Experiments are probing some of the theory regions for WIMP candidates
- Next generation projects: should reach the $\leq 10^{-10}$ pb level => WIMP (astro)-physics



General Conclusions

- Strong evidence for Cold Dark Matter (galaxies, clusters, LSS, CMB, etc)
- Cold Dark Matter: likely new, long-lived particles produced in the early Universe
- Neutral, massive and weakly interacting particles are independently predicted by physics beyond the standard model, needed to stabilize the weak scale
- Dark matter particles of galactic origin can elastically scatter from nuclei in ultra-low background, low energy threshold terrestrial detectors
- The energy of the recoiling nucleus is transformed into a charge, light or phonon signal and could be detected with ultra-sensitive devices operated in underground laboratories
- A possible signal has to be consistent with a series of predicted 'signatures' in order to qualify as WIMP dark matter
- So far there is one claim for a signal, not confirmed by other, independent experiments
- Existing experiments can probe WIMP-nucleon cross sections down to ~ 10⁻⁷ pb
- Experiments under construction and future, ton-scale detectors should probe most of the theoretically interesting parameter space

End

The LUX Experiment

• 350 kg dual phase LXe TPC (100 kg fiducial), with 122 PMTs in large water shield with muon veto

- LUX 0.1: 50 kg LXe prototype with 4 R8778 PMTs was assembled and tested at CWRU
- PMTs: 2" diameter, 175 nm > 30% QE; radioactivity: U/Th ~ 9/3 mBq/PMT
- LUX 1.0: full detector to be operated above ground at Homestake in fall 2009
- LUX 1.0: to be installed at Homestake Davis Cavern, 4850 ft in spring 2010 (in 8 m Ø water tank)
- Predicted WIMP sensitivity: 7 × 10⁻¹⁰ pb after 10 months



R8778 PMT





In water shield @ Homestake 4850 ft level

The CDMS Phonon Signal

Particle interaction \Rightarrow THz (~ 4 meV) phonons

Phonons: propagate to SC AI-fins on the surface, break Cooper pairs \Rightarrow quasiparticles

Quasiparticles: diffuse in 10 μ s through the AI-fins and are trapped in the W-TES \Rightarrow release their binding energy to the W electrons

The electron system T is raised \Rightarrow increased R

The TES is voltage biased and operated in the ETFB-mode

Current change is measured by SQUIDs



The CDMS Charge Signal

Interaction: breaks up the e⁻-hole pairs in the crystal, separated by E-field => Charge is collected by electrodes on the surface of the crystal

Two charge channels:

disk in the center (\approx 85% of surface) + ring at the edge of the crystal surface Events within few µm of the surface: deficit charge collection ("dead layer")

